IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO. 1009 OF 2007
IN
MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIM PETITION NO.54 OF 2001

Maruti Hari Golatkar ...Appellant
Vs.
Smt. Nivedita Digambar Warang & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. Rajan K. Kakkara, Advocate for Appellant
Ms. Leena R. Temkar, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 to 4
Ms. Yogini D. Ghawale, Advocate for Respondent No.5
Mr. N.M. Godbole, Advocate for Respondent N.6
Mr. S.M. Vidyarthi, Advocate for Respondent No.7
Respondent No. 2 present

CORAM: SMT.ROSHAN DALVI,J.
DATED: 7TH JUNE, 2007

P.C.

1. The Respondent No.2 is present. The Advocate appearing on behalf of Respondent nos. 1 to 4 upon taking instructions from Respondent No.2 makes a statement that the Respondents 1 to 4 do not desire to execute the decree. The Advocates for Respondents 5,6 and 7 state that they are not concerned with and are not affected by this statement.

2. In view of this statement the Appeal is disposed off as the Appellant does not press for any other reliefs.

(SMT. ROSHAN DALVI, J.)